JOHN C. LYNCH 757.687.7765 telephone 757.687.1504 facsimile john.lynch@troutmansanders.com TROUTMAN SANDERS

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
Attorneys at Law
222 Central Park Avenue, Suite 2000
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
757.687.7500 telephone
troutmansanders.com

MARYIA Y. JONES 757.687.7539 telephone 757.687.1542 facsimile maryia.jones@troutmansanders.com

June 27, 2014

## VIA E-MAIL

Dale W. Pittman

The Law Office of Dale W. Pittman, P.C.

112-A W Tabb Street

Petersburg, VA 23803-3212

E-mail: dale@pittmanlawoffice.com

Leonard A. Bennett Susan M. Rotkis

Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C.

763 J. Clyde Morris Boulevard, Suite 1-A

Newport News, VA 23601

E-mail: lenbennett@clalegal.com E-mail: srotkis@clalegal.com Kristi C. Kelly Andrew J. Guzzo

Kelly and Crandall, PLC

4084 University Drive, Suite 2A

Fairfax, Virginia 22030

E-mail: kkelly@kellyandcrandall.com E-mail: aguzzo@kellyandcrandall.com

Matthew J. Erausquin

Casey S. Nash

Janelle Mason Mikac

Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C.

1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 600

Alexandria, VA 22314

E-mail: matt@clalegal.com

E-mail: casey@clalegal.com

E-mail: janelle@clalegal.com

Re: Fariasantos v. Rosenberg & Associates, LLC

Civil Action No. 3:13cv543

## Dear Counsel:

Defendant Rosenberg & Associates, LLC ("Rosenberg & Associates"), after reasonable review and inquiry, submits the following information regarding consumers who received letters in the form of Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Complaint (the "FDCPA Letters"):

- The EDVA Richmond Division subclass consists of 738 consumers to whom FDCPA Letters were sent in the territory covering the Richmond Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia; and
- The Henrico County subclass consists of 83 consumers to whom FDCPA Letters were sent within Henrico County.

Case 3:13-cv-00543-REP-DJN Document 50-2 Filed 07/07/14 Page 2 of 2 PageID# 436 TROUTMAN SANDERS

June 27, 2014 Page 2

Rosenberg & Associates does not concede that Plaintiff is entitled to this information and is providing it to Plaintiff solely in order to avoid burdening the Court with discovery motions. This information is not relevant to determining whether Rule 23 requirements are met with respect to the class defined in Plaintiff's Complaint. To the extent Plaintiff is seeking this information in furtherance of an attempt to engage in piecemeal certification of artificially limited classes, Rosenberg & Associates will oppose any such motion for class certification.

Sincerely,

John C. Lynch

22494324v2